THE OPERATIONAL COST OF MANUAL TARDY PROCESSING

A Labor, Data Integrity & Return on Investment Analysis for School Districts

Manual tardy processing requires a significant annual investment of administrative labor while producing delayed and inconsistent attendance data that can affect compliance and funding integrity. This analysis shows that automating tardy management reduces administrative workload by more than 90%, improves audit readiness and restores staff capacity without increasing headcount. In most districts, labor savings alone offset the cost of automation within the first academic year.

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved
The information in this report is for general information purposes only.

Contents

The Operational Cost of Manual Tardy Processing 2

Executive Summary 2

Financial Impact Summary 3

The Regulatory and Pedagogical Framework of K-12 Attendance 4

- Tardiness as a Leading Indicator 4
- Attendance Data and State Funding 5

The Mechanics and Failures of Manual Tardy Tracking 5

- The Front Office Bottleneck 5
- Human Error and Data Instability 6

Instructional Disruption and Classroom Impact 6

Technical Overview: The *AutoTardy* System 6

- Direct SIS Integration 6
- Operational Characteristics 7

Manual vs. Automated Performance Comparison 7

Cultural and Organizational Impact 7

- Accountability Through Transparency 7
- Restoring Staff Capacity 7

Quantified ROI and Impact 8

- Annual Tardy Volume: What Normal Looks Like in Practice 8
- The Administrative Time Tax 8
- Labor Cost Reality 9
- Manual vs. Automated Efficiency 9
- Instructional Time Loss: The Hidden Student Cost 9
- Individual Student Impact 10
- Discipline Integrity and Data Accuracy 10
- Annual Cost Comparison 10
- Safety and Accountability Gains 10

Executive Bottom Line 11

Conclusion 11

References 12

Appendix A - About *AutoTardy* 15

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved

The Operational Cost of Manual Tardy Processing

A Labor, Data Integrity and Return on Investment Analysis for School Districts

Executive Summary

Across the United States, K-12 school systems operate in an environment of unprecedented accountability. Attendance—once a routine clerical task—has become a high stakes operational, pedagogical and financial variable tied directly to student outcomes, regulatory compliance, and state funding. Within this framework, student tardiness represents a disproportionately costly failure point.

Although often perceived as a minor disciplinary issue, tardiness accumulates into thousands of lost instructional minutes, serves as an early indicator of chronic absenteeism and generates significant administrative overhead. Manual tardy tracking systems—paper sign-ins, handwritten passes, and delayed SIS entry—are structurally incapable of meeting modern requirements for accuracy, auditability and real-time intervention.

This analysis examines the regulatory and instructional context governing tardy management, documents the operational and data integrity failures of manual processes and provides a technical comparison with *AutoTardy*® —an automated, attendance solution designed for direct integration with PowerSchool SIS.

Key findings include:

- Manual tardy tracking introduces persistent error rates, instructional disruption and staff bottlenecks that scale exponentially with school size.
- Inaccurate or delayed tardy data undermines early intervention efforts and exposes districts to funding and compliance risk under ADA and ESSA frameworks.
- Automated, real-time tardy capture eliminates proxy attendance ("buddy signing"), restores instructional time and produces audit-ready records.
- Schools implementing automated tardy systems routinely reclaim hundreds of staff hours annually while reducing student tardiness by 30–80%.

Section 7 quantifies the administrative, instructional and financial impact of manual tardy processing and demonstrates how districts typically achieve a positive return on automation within the first academic year.

Automation of tardy management is not a convenience upgrade—it is a strategic operational correction aligned with the realities of modern K-12 accountability.

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Financial Impact Summary

District-Wide Financial Impact

Costs / Year	1,000 Students	5,000 Students	10,000 Students	25,000 Students
Manual Tardy Processing	~$64,000	~$319,000	~$638,000	~$1.6M
AutoTardy Automation	~$4,200	~$21,000	~$42,000	~$106,000
Net Annual Savings	~$60,000	~$298,000	~$596,000	~$1.50M

Automating Tardy Processing: Financial, Instructional & Accountability Impact

The Reality: Tardiness is no longer episodic—it is high-volume daily operational traffic, still managed manually in most schools.

- National daily tardy rate: 3.3%–9.5%
- Per 1,000 students: 33–95 late arrivals/day
- Planning average: ~9,000 tardy events/year per 1,000 students

Problem 1: Administrative Labor Drain

Credentialed leadership time is being consumed by clerical work.

- 1.5–3 minutes per tardy
- PLUS identity verification, slip writing, SIS entry, reconciliation
- ~1,000 staff hours/year per 1,000 students
- Labor cost basis:
    - Assistant Principals: $60K–$68K
    - Attendance staff: $25K–$30K

Result: High-cost labor performing low-value, repetitive tasks.

Problem 2: Instructional Time Loss

Morning bottlenecks delay class entry and compound learning loss.

- 10–15 minutes average wait per tardy
- ~2,250 instructional hours lost/year per 1,000 students
- Impact per student:
    - 1 tardy/week → ~9 hours lost/year
    - 3 tardies/week → ~27 hours lost/year

Result: This loss is invisible in budgets—but very visible in classrooms.

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Manual vs. *AutoTardy* Processing

Metric	Manual Processing	*AutoTardy* Automation
Processing time	1.5–3 minutes	2.6–6 seconds
Annual staff hours	~1,000	15–30
SIS entry	Manual	Real-time
Discipline tracking	Delayed	Immediate
Data accuracy	Up to 8X higher errors than automated systems	~100%

Result: 95%+ reduction in administrative labor with consistent, enforceable policy execution.

Compounding Return on Investment

- Tardies scale with enrollment
- Manual labor scales linearly
- Automation scales once
- Savings recur every year

Payback period: < 30 days at all enrollment levels.

At district scale, this is no longer a software decision. It is a recurring labor expense elimination.

The Regulatory and Pedagogical Framework of K-12 Attendance

In the United States, attendance tracking exists at the intersection of federal accountability mandates, state funding formulas and local instructional priorities. Under the *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA), chronic absenteeism has emerged as a primary non-academic indicator of school quality. Because frequent tardiness is often the earliest observable signal of disengagement, accurate minute-level tracking is a prerequisite for meaningful intervention.

Tardiness as a Leading Indicator

Tardiness in K-12 acts as a critical leading indicator of future academic, social and professional success, often serving as an early warning sign for chronic absenteeism and disengagement.

- Predictor of Chronic Absence: Frequent tardiness is a precursor to more severe attendance issues, acting as an early warning sign for future disengagement.
- Academic Impact: Late arrivals result in lost instruction time, particularly in crucial morning routines, leading to lower test scores and increased failure rates.

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved

- Underlying Challenges: Chronic tardiness often signals deeper, underlying issues such as mental health struggles, family instability or school-related anxiety.
- Future Outcomes: Beyond school, habitual lateness correlates with poor time management skills, potentially affecting future career and social opportunities.

Attendance Data and State Funding

For many districts, attendance precision directly affects revenue. In ADA-based funding models such as California's, daily attendance calculations determine the majority of operating funds. Other states impose granular thresholds that require precise time-on-campus documentation.

Threshold Examples

State / Entity	Attendance Definition	Reporting Impact
Federal (ESSA)	Missing 10% of school year	Accountability score
California	Total attendance ÷ instructional days	District revenue (ADA)
Wisconsin	<33% none; 33–66% half day	Compliance reporting
South Carolina	Misses >50% of day	Chronic absenteeism
Florida	Missing 10% of days or classes	Early intervention triggers

Manual processes—dependent on visual clock checks and handwritten logs—cannot reliably meet these statutory thresholds.

The Mechanics and Failures of Manual Tardy Tracking

Despite escalating accountability demands, many schools continue to rely on paper-based workflows. A typical process requires students to queue at the front office, sign a paper register, wait for verification and receive a handwritten pass. Each step introduces delay, error and instructional loss.

The Front Office Bottleneck

During peak arrival windows, front offices become congestion points. Processing a single tardy student manually requires approximately 1.5–3 minutes. In schools with 30–50 late arrivals per morning, cumulative wait times can exceed 45 minutes for the final student in line.

This produces a compounding loss:

- Students miss additional instructional time beyond their initial lateness
- Administrative staff are diverted from core responsibilities
- Teachers experience repeated classroom interruptions

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Schools transitioning to automated systems consistently report reclaiming approximately three hours of administrative time per day previously lost to manual documentation and retroactive data entry.

Human Error and Data Instability

Paper-based attendance systems exhibit persistent error rates driven by:

- Transcription mistakes during SIS entry
- Subjective rounding or inconsistent time capture
- Proxy attendance ("buddy signing" or card sharing)
- Lost or mismatched tardy slips

These inaccuracies degrade data credibility, complicate audits and frequently force staff into reactive reconciliation efforts that provide no instructional value.

Instructional Disruption and Classroom Impact

Tardiness directly erodes instructional continuity. Closed-door policies minimize disruption, but late arrivals still require teachers to pause instruction, verify passes and reorient students.

Manual systems exacerbate this disruption by failing to provide real-time visibility. Teachers often complete roll call only to repeat attendance adjustments minutes later. Over an academic year, this fragmentation can cost dozens of instructional hours per classroom.

Technical Overview: The *AutoTardy* System

AutoTardy was designed to eliminate the structural limitations of manual attendance by combining automation with native SIS integration.

Direct SIS Integration

Unlike third-party tools that rely on delayed synchronization, *AutoTardy* writes tardy codes, timestamps and meeting or daily attendance directly into PowerSchool SIS in real time. This ensures a single, authoritative data source with no latency between student arrival and system visibility.

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Operational Characteristics

Feature	Technical Capability	Operational Result
Identification	ID card, PIN, finger scan, manual entry	Eliminates proxy attendance
Processing speed	<1 second identification	Clears queues instantly
SIS communication	Direct API write	Real-time accuracy
Pass generation	Automatic thermal print	Removes manual delays
Discipline logic	Threshold-based automation	Consistent enforcement
Notifications	SIS-triggered alerts	Immediate parent awareness

Manual vs. Automated Performance Comparison

Operational Performance Comparison

Metric	Manual Process	*AutoTardy* Automation
Total time per student	1.5–3 minutes	<6 seconds
Hourly capacity	20–40 students	~600 students
Data accuracy	Up to 8X higher errors than automated systems	~100%
Audit readiness	Weak	Strong

A single *AutoTardy* kiosk can process an entire morning's tardies in minutes—often before the first instructional transition concludes.

Cultural and Organizational Impact

Accountability Through Transparency

Automation removes subjectivity from attendance enforcement. Students understand that arrival times are recorded accurately and communicated immediately. This eliminates negotiation and drives measurable behavior change.

Restoring Staff Capacity

Automated tardy management routinely returns 400–500 staff hours annually to schools. Freed from clerical reconciliation, front office personnel can focus on student support, safety and family engagement.

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Quantified ROI and Impact

Case Analysis: A 1,000-Student High School

Annual Tardy Volume: What Normal Looks Like in Practice

National attendance data indicates that 3.3%–9.5% of students arrive late on a typical school day. In a high school serving 1,000 students, this equates to 33–95 late arrivals each morning.

Across a standard 180-day academic year, this produces:

- Annual tardy volume: 5,940–17,100 events
- Practical planning average: approximately 9,000 tardy events per year

In most schools, this workload is absorbed by a single Assistant Principal, Attendance Secretary or shared across two administrators—each still processing 3,000–8,000 tardy events annually.

Drivers of increasing volume include:

- Chronic absenteeism rates remaining approximately 75% higher than pre-pandemic levels
- Lockout and tardy sweep policies capturing every late arrival
- Digital accountability eliminating the ability to bypass reporting
- Higher lateness rates in urban and high-poverty districts

Tardiness is no longer episodic; it is high-volume operational traffic.

The Administrative Time Tax

A single manual tardy interaction typically requires 1.5–3 minutes, encompassing identity verification, offense review, handwritten pass issuance and logging or deferred SIS entry.

At approximately 9,000 tardies per year, this results in:

- 300–600 hours of direct front-office processing
- 5–10 additional hours per week dedicated to clerical reconciliation
- Approximately 1,000 total staff hours annually

This represents over half of a full professional work year consumed by attendance documentation.

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Labor Cost Reality

Assistant Principal Cost Model

- Average salary: $105,000–$120,000
- Hourly equivalent: $60–$68
- 1,000 hours annually: $60,000–$68,000

Attendance Officer Cost Model

- Average salary: $45,000–$55,000
- Hourly equivalent: $25–$30
- 1,000 hours annually: $25,000–$30,000

A significant portion of credentialed administrative salaries is consumed by clerical labor priced at leadership rates.

Manual vs. Automated Efficiency

Manual Process vs. *AutoTardy* Automation

Metric	Manual Process	*AutoTardy* Automation
Processing time per student	1.5–3 minutes	2.6–6 seconds
Annual staff hours	~1,000	15–30
Data accuracy	97–99%	Effectively 100%
Discipline tracking	Manual, delayed	Instant, automated
SIS entry	Manual re-entry	Real-time direct write

Automation reduces administrative labor by 95% or more.

Instructional Time Loss: The Hidden Student Cost

At a conservative 5% daily tardy rate (50 students per day):

- Daily instructional time lost: 12.5 collective student hours
- Annual instructional time lost: approximately 2,250 hours

Automation reduces this loss to approximately 1.5 hours annually, reclaiming more than 2,248 instructional hours.

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Individual Student Impact

Instructional Time Recovered

Tardy Frequency	Manual Time Lost	*AutoTardy*	Instructional Time Recovered
Once per week	~9 hours/year	~4 minutes	~8.9 hours
Three times per week	~27 hours/year	~11 minutes	~26.8 hours

Discipline Integrity and Data Accuracy

Manual systems introduce lost passes, miscounted offenses, delayed consequences and inconsistent enforcement. Automated systems provide verified identification, exact timestamps written directly to the SIS, automatic discipline triggers and zero manual reconciliation.

Discipline becomes immediate, consistent and defensible.

Annual Cost Comparison

Manual Process vs. *AutoTardy* Annual Cost Comparison for 1,000 Students

Expense Category	Manual Process	*AutoTardy*
Administrative labor	$63,000	$1,890
Supplies & storage	$800	$150
Software	$0	$2,200 ($2.20/student)
Total Annual Cost	$63,800	$4,240

- Net annual savings: approximately $60,000
- ROI timeline: typically fewer than 30 instructional days

Safety and Accountability Gains

- Students move from hallway to classroom in seconds
- Real-time visibility of who is in the building
- Immediate accountability during emergencies
- Elimination of hallway congestion and unsupervised waiting

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Executive Bottom Line

Manual tardy processing imposes a $60,000 annual tax on leadership time, fragments instruction and degrades attendance data integrity.

Automation:

- Reclaims 1,000 hours of administrative capacity
- Restores 2,200+ instructional hours
- Produces audit-ready attendance records
- Enables immediate, data-driven intervention

This is not an efficiency upgrade. It is a reallocation of professional labor back to education, safety and student support.

Conclusion

Manual tardy tracking is a legacy process misaligned with modern educational accountability. Its inefficiencies undermine instruction, compromise data integrity and strain staff capacity.

Automated tardy management—anchored in real-time SIS integration—represents a structural correction rather than a technical enhancement. By eliminating bottlenecks, ensuring audit-ready accuracy and restoring human time to instructional priorities, *AutoTardy* enables schools to meet accountability demands while improving student outcomes.

In a data-driven K-12 environment, the transition from paper to automation is no longer optional. It is an operational imperative.

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved

References

Baweja, S. (2025, October 10). *The hidden cost of manual attendance management in higher ed institutions*. Academia ERP. https://www.academiaerp.com/blog/the-hidden-cost-of-manual-attendance-management-in-higher-ed-institutions/

California Department of Education. (2025). *Instructional time and attendance accounting – Finance & grants*. https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/it/

California Department of Education. (2025). *Terminology and laws*. https://www.cde.ca.gov/ls/ai/ag/ag-terminology-laws.asp

Connecticut State Board of Education. (2025, June 11). *Approval of Commissioner's Network turnaround plan: John F. Kennedy High School, Waterbury*. https://portal.ct.gov/-/media/sde/board/boardmaterials061125/approval_of_commissioners_network_turnaround_plan_john_f_kennedy_high_school_waterbury.pdf

Engineerica. (2025, March 7). *Student attendance tracking management*. https://www.engineerica.com/academic-centers/post/student-attendance-tracking-management/

Florida Senate. (2025, March 19). *HB 1367: School attendance*. https://www.flsenate.gov/Session/Bill/2025/1367/Analyses/h1367a.EAS.PDF

Head Start Program. (2024). *45 CFR §1302.16: Attendance*. https://headstart.gov/policy/45-cfr-chap-xiii/1302-16-attendance

identiMetrics, Inc. (2019). *Practical solutions for accountability & security in schools*. https://identimetrics.net/biometrics-info/articles/articles-white-paper/

identiMetrics, Inc. (2020). *Case study: Avon Local School District (OH)*. https://identimetrics.net/biometrics-info/case-studies/case-study-avon/

identiMetrics, Inc. (2026). *Auto attendance plugins*. https://identimetrics.net/auto-attendance-plugins/

identiMetrics, Inc. (2026). *AutoTardy® plugin*. https://identimetrics.net/auto-tardy-plugin/

Kansas City Public Schools. (2025). *Attendance and tardies*. https://www.kcpublicschools.org/families/attendance

Keenze-Wells, A. (2022, February 15). *Best practices for tardy management in schools*. SchoolMint. https://blog.schoolmint.com/best-practices-for-tardy-management-in-schools

Lenuf. (2025, January 16). *Challenges of manual attendance marking and how to overcome them*. SoftDunamis. https://softdunamis.com/blog/manual-attendance-marking/

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Miller, K. (2018, July 2). *Combatting student tardiness with kiosks and an SIS*. K–12 Dive. https://www.k12dive.com/news/combatting-student-tardiness-with-kiosks-and-an-sis/526875/

Minga. (2025). *Make tardy lines a thing of the past*. https://minga.io/tardy-management-system/

Moretti, L. (2025, June 12). *How tardy tracking apps benefit administrators and boost learning*. Vision Database. https://visiondatabase.com/how-tardy-tracking-apps-benefit-administrators-and-boost-learning/

Moretti, L. (2025, October 10). *Tardy & behavior alerts: Parental notifications for K–12 school tardies*. Vision Database. https://visiondatabase.com/tardy-behavior-alerts-parental-notifications-for-k-12-school-tardies/

Moretti, L. (2025, October 24). *Revolutionizing tardy management with school ID scanning*. Vision Database. https://visiondatabase.com/revolutionizing-tardy-management-with-school-id-scanning/

National Forum on Education Statistics. (2009). *Every school day counts: The forum guide to collecting and using attendance data*. U.S. Department of Education. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics. https://nces.ed.gov/pubs2009/attendancedata/

One Tap Blog. (2025, October 25). *How schools are tracking tardy without the paper chase*. https://blog.onetapcheckin.com/tardy-tracking-for-schools-without-paperwork/

Organ, P. (2024, February 16). *The hidden costs: How manual attendance tracking damages schools and disrupts parent engagement*. Orah. https://www.orah.com/blog/hidden-costs-of-manual-attendance

Pernteado, T. (2025, July 30). *How many passes does your school handle each day?* Orah. https://www.orah.com/blog/save-hours-with-orah-passes

Pimpalkar, A. (2025, December 15). *Still using manual attendance systems?* VMeduLife. https://vmedulife.com/blog/academic-planning/research-paper-on-attendance-management-system-why-manual-tracking-is-failing-institutions-today/

Puredata. (2025, October 21). *How tracking student tardies can help you improve your school attendance rate*. https://puredata.io/blogs/targeting-student-tardies-is-an-important-part-of-addressing-attendance-rates-holistically/

Shelar, A. G., Gurav, M. D., Masaye, R. S., & Bodke, M. R. (2025). *Time table management in educational institutions: Challenges and practices*. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research, 7*(4), 1–8. https://www.ijfmr.com/papers/2025/4/52696.pdf

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Skyward. (2024). *Case studies: Marion County School District*. https://skyward.com/reviews/case-studies/tardy-kiosk

Spintly. (2025). *Manual vs. automated attendance systems: Pros & cons explained*. https://spintly.com/blog/manual-vs-automated-attendance-systems-pros-and-cons/

South Carolina Department of Education. (2026). *Chronic absenteeism*. https://ed.sc.gov/districts-schools/student-support/chronic-absenteeism/

Turkowski, J. (2025, March 18). *Automated time tracking vs. manual tracking – Which is best for your business?* TimeCamp. https://www.timecamp.com/blog/automated-time-tracking-vs-manual-tracking-which-is-best-for-your-business/

U.S. Department of Education. (2025, August 21). *Chronic absenteeism*. https://www.ed.gov/teaching-and-administration/supporting-students/chronic-absenteeism

Washington Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction. (2023). *Policies, guidance, and data reporting*. https://ospi.k12.wa.us/student-success/support-programs/attendance-chronic-absenteeism-and-truancy/policies-guidance-and-data-reporting

Wing, T. (2025, August 13). *Why manual attendance is costing schools more than they realise*. Inforida. https://blog.inforida.com/why-manual-attendance-is-costing-schools-more-than-they-realise/

Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction. (2025). *Attendance*. https://dpi.wi.gov/wise/data-elements/attendance

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Appendix A - About *AutoTardy*

AutoTardy: Streamline School Attendance & Safety with One Unified Solution

Managing tardies, hall passes, early dismissals, building access, and event attendance is time-consuming, error-prone, and fragmented. Administrators juggle multiple systems, paper logs, and manual checks while trying to maintain accurate records and ensure student safety.

AutoTardy is an all-in-one, automated plugin that eliminates these headaches. Built specifically for schools using PowerSchool, *AutoTardy* seamlessly integrates attendance data with safety and movement tracking—giving you complete visibility and control without adding complexity.

Core Features & Benefits

1. Fully Automated Tardy Management

- Choose monitored (staff-supervised) or self-service (kiosk/student check-in) options to fit your school's workflow.
- Instantly prints passes.
- Records attendance directly into PowerSchool with precise timestamps.
- Automatically assigns clock-in and clock-out times.
- Handles early dismissals with the same seamless automation.

Benefit: Save hours of administrative time, reduce errors, & ensure every tardy is accurately documented!

2. Unified Movement & Safety Tracking

AutoTardy extends your existing attendance data to critical non-attendance scenarios:

- **Building Entry Control** – Scan student IDs at entry points. Authorized students check in instantly; unauthorized students trigger immediate alerts to staff.
- **Early Morning Arrivals** – Know exactly which students are in the building before the official start of the day.
- **Internal Check-Ins** – Track visits to the nurse's office, library, counseling or resource centers without recording attendance.

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved

- **After-School Events** – Manage attendance at games, dances, clubs or performances. Verify eligibility in real time.

Benefit: One system provides complete visibility into who is on campus, where and when - enhancing both safety and operational efficiency!

3. Reporting & Compliance

- Activity logs with filters and search.
- One-click export for audits, parent inquiries or state reporting.

Benefit: Eliminate manual spreadsheets & confidently demonstrate compliance!

4. Seamless PowerSchool Integration

AutoTardy writes data directly to PowerSchool—no manual syncing, no duplicate entry, no risk of discrepancies.

5. Fast, Simple Setup

Our team partners with districts to configure and train so you are up and running quickly.

Why Schools Choose *AutoTardy*

- **Time Savings** – Automate repetitive tasks so staff can focus on students, not paperwork.
- **Improved Safety** – Real-time alerts and complete entry logs protect your campus.
- **Better Data** – Accurate, centralized records improve decision-making and reporting.
- **Scalable** – Works for single schools or entire districts.

AutoTardy delivers a unified solution that turns fragmented processes into a streamlined, secure system. Because every minute and every student matters.

Multi-Plugin Functionality in One Unified Plugin!

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved

About identiMetrics

identiMetrics is a leading provider of biometric identification and automated attendance solutions designed specifically for K–12 education. For more than 20 years, schools and districts across the United States have relied on identiMetrics technology to improve attendance accuracy, strengthen accountability, streamline operations, and recover valuable instructional time.

The company's child-friendly biometric identification systems and automated attendance solutions support food service, classroom attendance, tardy management, library services, and student identification programs. Built exclusively for education environments, identiMetrics helps schools modernize workflows while reducing administrative burden and enhancing the student experience.

For information, please contact us at:

identiMetrics, Inc.
115 York Road Suite 200
Jenkintown, PA 19046
215-836-5640

info@identimetrics.net
www.identimetrics.net

The information in this report is for general information purposes only. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any other information storage and retrieval system, without the written permission of identiMetrics, Inc.

©2026 identiMetrics, Inc. All Rights Reserved